



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
P.O. Box 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov
GW 1003

Paper No. 11

JOHN E. HOLMES
ROYLANCE, ABRAMS, BERDO & GOODMAN L.L.P.
1300 19th STREET N.W.
SUITE 600
WASHINGTON DC 20036

COPY MAILED

OCT 20 2003

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :
DeNuzzio et al. :
Application No. 10/024,506 : DECISION GRANTING PETITION
Filed: 21 December, 2001 :
Attorney Docket No. P-4899 :

This is a decision on the petition, filed on 19 August and supplemented by facsimile on 15 October, 2003, under 37 CFR 1.137(f) which is treated as a petition to revive the above-identified nonprovisional application under the unintentional provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b).

The petition is GRANTED.

Petitioner states that the present nonprovisional application is the subject of a foreign or international application filed on 17 December, 2002. However, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office was unintentionally not notified of this filing within 45 days subsequent to the filing of the subject application in a foreign country.

In view of the above, this application became abandoned pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 122(b)(2)(B)(iii) and 37 CFR 1.213© for failure to timely notify the Office of the filing of an application in a foreign country, or under a multilateral international agreement, that requires publication of applications 18 months after filing.

A petition under 37 CFR 1.137(f) must be accompanied by:

(1) the reply which is met by the notification of such filing in a foreign country or under a multinational treaty;

Application No. 10/024,506

(2) the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m); and

(3) a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date of the reply until the filing of a grantable petition was unintentional.

The statement contained in the instant petition does not set forth that the entire delay from the due date of the required reply to the date of the filing of a grantable petition was unintentional as required by 37 CFR 1.137(b)(3). However, the statement contained in the instant petition is being so construed. Petitioner **must** notify the Office if this is not a correct interpretation.

The present petition has been found to be in compliance with 37 CFR 1.137(f). Accordingly, the failure to timely notify the Office of a foreign or international filing within 45 days after the date of filing of such foreign or international application as provided by 35 U.S.C. § 122(b)(2)(B)(I) has been rescinded. A Communication Regarding Rescission of Nonpublication Request and/or Notice of Foreign Filing, which sets forth the projected publication date of 22 January, 2004, accompanies this decision on petition.

This decision is being forwarded to Technology Center 3700 for further processing.

Any inquiries concerning this decision may be directed to the undersigned at 703.308.6918.



Douglas I. Wood
Senior Petitions Attorney
Office of Petitions

Encl: Communication Regarding Rescission of Nonpublication Request and/or Notice of Foreign Filing